Foreword & Acknowledgements

This book is a (slightly) revised version of the doctoral thesis I defended in 2011 at the University of Salzburg in Austria. The publication of this work by Reichert Verlag was made possible thanks to an award from the "Society for Indo-European studies" (Indogermanische Gesellschaft) which I received in 2013. Since I was planning to thoroughly revise several parts of the original text, I decided not to publish my thesis directly at that time. Nevertheless, such a revision would essentially mean rewriting the whole work and although an in-depth reshaping would have been the optimal scenario, it would demand more time than I could spend.

So, the work in hand reflects a younger version of my thought with all the pros and cons that an early work brings along. A radically revised version of the text would demand a new strain of argumentation which, as already said, would in turn mean a rewriting of the whole work. Despite the glitches of the current analysis, I still insist on the main findings of the earlier research and I do believe that some of the thoughts presented herein will interest those dealing with similar phenomena.

That said, I can assure that my treatise provides solid arguments towards my stance that synchronically the Modern Greek language does possess a category that can be designated as a 'subjunctive mood'. My work also entails both systematic diachronic and comparative investigation and a plethora of examples which, I hope, will be useful to researchers who may not be competent in Modern Greek. Crucially, I believe that most of the descriptive analyses found here will not be rendered obsolete due to a paradigm shift in syntactic theory in the future. My dictum is that if a theory is proposed in a specialized linguistic book, this theory, in a simplified way, should also find its way into a grammar handbook sooner or later. My impression is that certain theoretical models in modern linguistics are way too perplexing to serve as the basis of a sound grammatical analysis: for example, they are ad hoc theories that lack typological validity. So, if we agree that it is for the sake of understanding the linguistic phenomenon, not for the sake of justifying theories that we cultivate the linguistic science, we should also keep in mind that clarity and simplicity should be our research principles.

Some acknowledgements are due to a number of colleagues, teachers and friends. First and foremost, I want to express my gratitude to my supervisor Professor Thomas Krisch. Thomas Krisch is a scholar who combined various linguistic methodologies in his work during his long career. As an academic figure he has definitely set an example for me and especially his ability to bring together the traditional historical linguistic and the modern linguistic theories has been exceptional and has inspired my work. What is more, Thomas Krisch gave me the opportunity to work for two years (2008-2010) at the *Rig Veda Lexicon Project*, which he supervised as a project chief. My engagement as a contributor to the preparation of the second volume of the Rig Veda Dictionary has been a most valuable and fascinating experience.

I also want to thank my co-supervisor Prof. Hubert Haider. Hubert Haider is a prominent syntactician whose innovative ideas and analyses as well as his essential criticism towards many tenets of the mainstream syntactic theory have been most educating. Although my work does not pertain to the "hardcore" generative syntactic theory, his profound ideas and, mostly, his systematic and coherent argumentation played a central role in the way I formulated my own approach.

I am deeply indebted to Dr. Ioannis Fykias, a person who has been more than a teacher to me. Since the first time I came to Salzburg, Ioannis Fykias "fed me" (pun intended!) with the necessary literature concerning my topic while he has been catering for me and for the rest of his students, always bringing coffee and a huge number of sweets. His feedback has surely been most valuable. I also thank my Rig Veda project colleagues Dr. Christina Katsikadeli and Dr. Stefan Niederreiter with whom I collaborated on several levels.

I feel privileged to have attended several courses delivered by Professor Oswald Panagl, who is a prominent scholar of exceptional erudition and a genuine homo universalis. His lectures were most beneficial for me and I would like to thank him for his comments and remarks all these years.

I also thank my once fellow students at the department of Linguistics for their aid and student solidarity: Especially I would like to thank my former peers and good friends and colleagues Dr. Matthias Passer and Dr. Michael Vereno with whom I had several thought-provoking discussions even in the most unexpected milieus.

I would like to thank Prof. Brian Joseph, Prof. Eleni Karantzola, Prof. Anna Roussou, Dr. Notis Toufexis and Prof. Theodore Markopoulos for having sent me their own papers and for sharing interesting information concerning my research. I also want to thank Prof. Geoffrey Horrocks for the most interesting discussion we had in Cambridge many years ago. I warmly thank Dr. Isabella Greisinger for having belief in my work and for being empathetic and supportive to me also when things were not so smooth in my life.

It goes without saying that I owe much to my family, especially to my mother Κωνσταντίνα, to my grandmother Κατερίνα and to my uncle Παναγιώτης. Σας ευχαριστώ όλους σας για την βοήθεια, την υποστήριξη και την αγάπη σας.

I am grateful to my close friends who have been supporting and encouraging me all these years: I also want to mention Andromachos, Athanasios, Christophoros, Ersi, Georgia, Kostas, Maria, Melios, Yorgos for being good and real friends. Finally, I would like to thank Dilara for having encouraged me to proceed with this publication and for assisting me in the final edition of the text.